

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,285	08/28/2003	Joseph R. Zelinski	1063	6688	
7590 12/13/2005			EXAMINER		
Donald J. Ersler			SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO		
725 Garvens Avenue Brookfield, WI 53005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Biookiicia, Wi	33003		2837		
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				AK
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	••
		10/650,285	ZELINSKI, JOSEPH	R.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Edgardo San Martin	2837	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addr	ess
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMPS on time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this comi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Au	<u>ugust 2003</u> .		•
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the n	nerits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-19 and 21-28</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>10,20 and 29</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ot	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR	• •
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		•
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National St	age
Attachmen	• •	-		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infon	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		52)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 11, 22, 24, 25, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Herold (US 5,949,035).

With respect to claims 1 and 22, Herold teaches a method of forming a muffler with a baffle (Figs.1 and 2), comprising the steps of forming a baffle (Figs.1 and 2, Item 18) having at least two legs (Fig.2, Items 18, 20 and 22), adjacent legs of the at least two legs being oriented at an acute angle to each other (Fig.2); providing a container that is sized to receive the baffle (Figs.1 and 2, Items 12 and 14); forming at least one inlet (Fig.1, Item 15) and at least one outlet (Fig.1, item 17) in the container; securing the baffle to the container; and covering each end of the container (Figs.1 and 2)(Col.5, Lines 34 – 56).

With respect to claims 3, 4, 24 and 25, Herold teaches forming at least one first exhaust opening (Fig.2, Item 166) through a first leg (Fig.2, Item 18) and forming at least one second exhaust opening (Fig.2, item 170) through a second leg of the baffle (Fig.2, Item 20), and further comprising the step of forming at least one third exhaust opening (Fig.2, Item 168) through a third leg of the baffle (Fig.2, Item 22)(Col.9, Lines 4 – 7).

With respect to claims 6 and 27, Herold teaches further comprising the step of forming least one inlet opening (Fig.1, Item 15) through the container, attaching at least one inlet tube (Figs.1 and 2, Item 86) to the at least one inlet opening, forming at least one outlet opening (Fig.1, Item 17) through the container, attaching at least one outlet tube (Figs.1 and 2, Item 88) to the at least one outlet opening (Col.7, Lines 16 – 24).

With respect to claims 11 and 28, Herold teaches further comprising the step of forming a web area (Fig.2, Items 138 and 140; Col.8, Lines 34 – 36) between adjacent legs of the at least two legs.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2, 5, 7 9, 12 19, 21, 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herold (US 5,949,035) in view of Malkiewicz (US 3,724,591).

With respect to claims 2, 12 and 23, Herold teaches the limitations discussed in a previous rejection, but fail to disclose further comprising the step of covering each end of the container by attaching an end cap.

On the other hand, Malkiewicz teaches a method of forming a muffler with a baffle, comprising the steps of forming a baffle (Fig.3, Item 27), providing a container

Application/Control Number: 10/650,285

Art Unit: 2837

(Fig.1, item 3) having a tubular construction, the container being sized to receive the baffle (Fig.1 and 2); forming at least one inlet and at least one outlet (Fig.1, items 15 and 17) in the container; securing the baffle to the container; and attaching an end cap (Fig.1, Item 13) to each end of the container.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the Malkiewicz muffler configuration with the Herold design because the Malkiewicz configuration would permit the construction of the whole muffler (container and baffle) to be in the from of a one-piece configuration; by employing only a single sheet of appropriate building material the cost of production and time of assembly would be less, improving the method of forming the muffler.

With respect to claims 5, 15 and 26, the Examiner considers that it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to form at least one fourth exhaust opening through a third or fourth leg of the baffle, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

With respect to claims 7, 8, 17 and 18, Malkiewicz teaches inserting the at least one inlet tube (Fig.1, Item 16) through the baffle and inserting the at least one outlet tube (Fig.1, item 18) through the baffle, or inserting the at least one inlet tube partially through the baffle and inserting the at least one outlet tube through the baffle (Fig.1)(Col.2, Lines 45 - 56).

With respect to claims 9 and 19, the Examiner considers that it is well known in the art of acoustics in muffler applications to insert at least one baffle tube through at

Art Unit: 2837

least two of the at least two legs and inserting at least one resonator tube in at least one of the at least two legs, in order to tune the muffler to attenuate a certain frequency range. The Examiner considers that any person with ordinary skill in the art would acknowledge that in a muffler with plural chambers and passages, a way of tuning the muffler is by altering the manner in which the chambers communicate with each other; these is a mere design choice to comply with a particular design parameter, this limitation would not depart from the scope of Herold and Malkiewicz teachings.

With respect to claims 13 and 14, Herold teaches forming at least one first exhaust opening (Fig.2, Item 166) through a first leg (Fig.2, Item 18) and forming at least one second exhaust opening (Fig.2, item 170) through a second leg of the baffle (Fig.2, Item 20), and further comprising the step of forming at least one third exhaust opening (Fig.2, Item 168) through a third leg of the baffle (Fig.2, Item 22)(Col.9, Lines 4 – 7).

With respect to claim 16, Herold teaches further comprising the step of forming least one inlet opening (Fig.1, Item 15) through the container, attaching at least one inlet tube (Figs.1 and 2, Item 86) to the at least one inlet opening, forming at least one outlet opening (Fig.1, Item 17) through the container, attaching at least one outlet tube (Figs.1 and 2, Item 88) to the at least one outlet opening (Col.7, Lines 16 – 24).

With respect to claim 21, Herold teaches further comprising the step of forming a web area (Fig.2, Items 138 and 140; Col.8, Lines 34 – 36) between adjacent legs of the at least two legs.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,285 Page 6

Art Unit: 2837

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 10, 20 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. The attached hereto PTO Form 892 lists prior art made of record that the Examiner considered it pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo San Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,285 Page 7

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edgardo San Martín Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2837 Class 181

December 11, 2005